



SUBSCRIBE



CONTRIBUTORS:

HLS FACULTY & SENIOR FELLOWS

Lucian Bebchuk
Alon Brav
Robert Charles Clark
John Coates
Alma Cohen
Stephen M. Davis
Allen Ferrell
Jesse Fried
Assaf Hamdani
Oliver Hart
Ben W. Heineman, Jr.
Scott Hirst
Howell Jackson
Robert J. Jackson, Jr.
Wei Jiang
Lewis Kaden
Reinier Kraakman
Robert Pozen
Mark Ramseyer
Mark Roe
Robert Sitkoff
Holger Spamann
Guhan Subramanian

PROGRAM ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ADVISORY BOARD

William Ackman
Peter Atkins
Joseph Bachtelder
John Bader
Allison Bennington
Daniel Burch
Richard Climan
Jesse Cohn
Isaac Corré
Scott Davis
John Finley
Daniel Fischel
David Fox
Stephen Fraidin
Byron Georgiou
Larry Hamdan
Carl Icahn
Jack B. Jacobs
David Millstone
Theodore Mirvis
James Morphy
Toby Myerson
Morton Pierce
Barry Rosenstein
Paul Rowe
David Swinford
Rodman Ward

GUEST CONTRIBUTORS

Daron Acemoglu
Viral Acharya
Luis A. Aguilan
Claudia H. Allen
Ken Altman

Developments Regarding Gender Diversity on Public Boards

Posted by David A. Katz, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, on Tuesday November 12, 2013 at 9:23 am

Print E-mail Tweet

Tags: [Board composition](#), [Board performance](#), [Boards of Directors](#), [Canada](#), [David Katz](#), [Diversity](#), [EU](#), [Europe](#), [International governance](#), [Laura McIntosh](#), [Wachtell Lipton](#)

Editor's Note: [David A. Katz](#) is a partner at Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz specializing in the areas of mergers and acquisitions and complex securities transactions. This post is based on an article by Mr. Katz and Laura A. McIntosh that first appeared in the *New York Law Journal*; the full article, including footnotes, is available [here](#).

While the number of women directors on U.S. public company boards has not risen dramatically since 2012, the issue of gender diversity on boards continued to gain momentum and global prominence over the last 12 months. Since we last discussed this issue, new legislative and non-governmental initiatives around the world have resulted in growing numbers of women directors and greater shareholder focus on board diversity and related disclosures. This issue is likely to become increasingly significant in 2014 and beyond, both in the United States and abroad.

EU Developments

Earlier this month, the European Commission moved a step closer to imposing a form of gender quota on major public companies in the European Union. Two committees of the European Parliament voted in favor of a proposal by the European Commission to require certain public companies to increase the representation of women on their boards. The proposed law applies only to large public companies, with no exceptions even for companies in which women compose less than 10 percent of the workforce, and, if adopted, provides for obligatory sanctions for failure to follow the proposed requirements.

The proposed law requires covered companies that do not have women composing 40 percent of their non-executive directors to introduce a new selection procedure for board members, thereby giving priority to qualified female candidates. It authorizes individual member states to determine sanctions for noncompliance. It is intended to be a temporary measure, expiring automatically in 2028. Though the proposed quota does not apply to companies with fewer than 250 employees and revenue below €50 million, the law includes a requirement that all exchange-listed companies set their own targets for gender board diversity to be met by 2020 (2018 for publicly owned enterprises), with annual reporting requirements as to their progress toward those targets. The proposal has received positive opinions from several Parliament committees and is expected to be voted upon by the European Parliament's plenary session in November 2013, although its passage is by no means certain.

This month, the European Commission also released a new report on women in positions of authority in major European public companies. As compared to October 2012, data from April 2013 shows that the percentage of women on boards has increased to 16.6 percent from 15.8 percent. The report highlighted the fact that, predictably, mandatory quotas have produced the most significant changes; in France, for example, women now represent over 26 percent of public company directors, as compared to 12.3 percent in 2010, due to a mandatory 40 percent quota that must be achieved in large companies by 2017. In Iceland, companies with more than 50 employees are required, as of September, to have at least 40 percent of each gender on the board; by April 2013 the percentage of women directors was 48.9 percent, so it appears that mandate has been successfully implemented.

Britain has resisted quotas thus far, preferring to rely on corporate initiatives to promote board diversity. The past two years have produced a significant increase in

SUPPORTED BY:



CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY

COSPONSORED BY THE

HLS Program on Corporate Governance
HLS Program on Institutional Investors
HLS Program on Law and Finance

SELECTED AS:

- » A "Web Winner" by *The Philadelphia Inquirer*
- » A "Top Blog" by *LexisNexis*
- » A "10 out of 10" by the *American Association of Law Librarians Blog*
- » A source for "insight into the latest developments" by *Directorship Magazine*
- » Among the top 20 legal blogs in law review citations
- » A "Top 100 Law and Lawyer Blog" by *Criminal Justice Degrees Guide*
- » A "Top 50" in accounting

ABOUT:

This blog
Delaware Law Series
Subscribe to our daily email newsletter
Twitter feed
RSS feed
Site admin

CATEGORIES

Academic Research
Accounting & Disclosure
Banking & Financial Institutions
Bankruptcy & Financial Distress
Boards of Directors
Comparative Corporate Governance & Regulation
Corporate Elections & Voting
Corporate Social Responsibility
Court Cases
Derivatives
Empirical Research
Executive Compensation
Financial Crisis
Financial Regulation
Institutional Investors
International Corporate Governance & Regulation
Legislative & Regulatory Developments
Mergers & Acquisitions
Op-Eds & Opinions
Practitioner Publications
Private Equity

John Armour
 Sheila C. Bair
 Oren Bar-Gill
 James D.C. Barrall
 Michael Barry
 Michal Barzuza
 George R. Bason, Jr.
 Barbara L. Becker
 Alan L. Beller
 Effi Benmelech
 Kerry E. Berchem
 Aaron Bernstein
 Donald S. Bernstein
 Bernard Black
 Lloyd C. Blankfein
 Patrick Bolton
 Carol Bowie
 Alon Brav
 Brian Breheny
 J. Robert Brown, Jr.
 Andrew R. Brownstein
 Elaine Buckberg
 Francis H. Byrd
 David L. Caplan
 Wayne M. Carlin
 Kathleen L. Casey
 James Chanos
 William Chandler
 Scott K. Charles
 Brian R. Cheffins
 Bart Chilton
 Richard Climan
 Mary Ann Cloyd
 H. Rodgin Cohen
 Joel M. Cohen
 Creighton Condon
 George T. Conway
 James R. Copland
 John Core
 Todd G. Cosenza
 Martijn Cremers
 George Dallas
 Steven Davidoff
 Paul Davies
 Scott J. Davis
 Stephen Davis
 Simon Deakin
 Don Delves
 James R. Doty
 Andrew Eggers
 Charles M. Elson
 Adam O. Emmerich
 Boris Feldman
 David Felsenthal
 Fabrizio Ferri
 Jill Fisch
 Arthur Fleischer Jr.
 David Fox
 Justin Fox
 Bruce F. Freed
 Daniel M. Gallagher
 Eduardo Gallardo
 Philip Gelston
 Bill George
 Eric Geringswald
 Mark D. Gerstein
 Gary Gensler
 Kayla Gillan
 Robert Giuffra
 William N. Goetzmann
 Harvey Goldschmid
 Itay Goldstein
 Jeremy L. Goldstein

the number of women directors as the issue gained prominence and nominating committees made efforts to achieve gender diversity. A BoardWatch report issued earlier this month with data as of October 1 showed that the percentage of women on FTSE-100 company boards has risen to 19 percent from 12.5 percent in 2011. The number of all-male boards on the FTSE-100 correspondingly has fallen from 21 in 2010 to only 5 currently. Meanwhile, the percentage of women board members in the FTSE-250 has risen to 14.9 percent from 7.8 percent in 2010. Overall, 25 percent of board appointments in the FTSE-100 and 36 percent in the FTSE-250 that have been made since March 1, 2013, have been women. The initial 2011 Women on Boards report by Lord Davies, the former British Trade Minister, stopped short of endorsing quotas but recommended that FTSE-100 companies aim for a minimum of 25 percent of their directors positions to be filled by women by 2015, with other companies in the FTSE-350 setting their own goals. He recommended that companies report annually on their diversity policies, including measurable objectives for implementation and the progress made in achieving these goals. Lord Davies noted in that report that government “must reserve the right to introduce more prescriptive alternatives if the recommended business-led approach does not achieve significant change.” In his April 2013 update, he exhorted chairmen and chief executives to “demonstrate real progress” in this area in order to stave off European-level legislative measures that would limit flexibility and impose diversity requirements. Britain has opposed the legislative initiative that is now progressing through the European Parliament, gathering a group of eight other countries to co-sign a letter in September 2012 to the leadership of the European Commission expressing their position that gender diversity should be addressed through national efforts. It remains to be seen how the controversy generated by the recent committee votes will play out among the member states of the European Union, but it is clear that this issue will continue to be prominent.

Other International Developments

In Canada, the Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) this summer commenced a consultation process regarding disclosure requirements for gender diversity on listed company boards and in senior management. The consultation paper cited surveys showing that as of March 2013, 13.1 percent of public company directorships were held by women, and that in 2012, women represented only 15 percent of senior officer positions in the Financial Post 500 companies. The consultation paper indicated that Canada’s progress in increasing those numbers has been slow relative to that of other countries. There is currently no requirement for Canadian public companies to have a gender diversity policy or to disclose the percentages of women on their boards or in senior management, and OSC put forth a “comply-or-explain” disclosure model as a possible regime to increase gender diversity. The policy considered in the paper would not establish any quotas, nor does it contemplate sanctions for failure to achieve gender diversity at any level.

During the comment period, which ended earlier this month, the OSC received a wide range of responses. The Ontario Teachers’ Pension Plan expressed the view that gender diversity in Canada has been too slow to improve and that the OSC therefore should establish a mandatory minimum of three women directors per company (scaled for board size) with delisting as a potential sanction for noncompliance. Royal Bank of Canada wrote in support of the proposed disclosure model rather than regulator-imposed quotas and noted its own diversity guideline for directors, which includes the objective that women should represent at least 25 percent of the board. BlackRock, the largest asset management corporation in the world, submitted a thoughtful response supporting the proposed comply-and-explain model. BlackRock’s letter suggested that each company be required to include in its disclosures a discussion of its diversity objectives and any obstacles that may have thwarted the fulfillment of those objectives. This, in BlackRock’s view, “should help both identify any industry-specific, structural or fundamental impediments to achieving diverse corporate leadership which may need to be dealt with by policy makers and otherwise inform the broader societal discussion regarding gender diversity in the corporate context.” The Institute of Corporate Directors, the Canadian association of directors and boards, also wrote in support of the OSC’s approach and reiterated its opposition to quotas in directorships or management positions.

Elsewhere in the world, gender diversity on boards is emerging slowly but surely as a legislative and social objective. In India, for example, the August 2013 Companies Act now requires every listed company to have at least one female director within one to three years of its listing, depending on the size of the company. In 2012, women represented only 7 percent of directors on Bombay Stock Exchange 100 companies, with almost half of the BSE-100 companies having no women directors at all. A drafter of the new law estimates that 6,000 women will be needed to fill the positions on Indian listed company boards.

Australia and New Zealand also have adopted disclosure requirements regarding

Program News & Events
 Program Research
 Regulators Materials
 Securities Litigation & Enforcement
 Securities Regulation
 Speeches & Testimony
 Uncategorized

ARCHIVES

2015
 January
 February
 2014
 2013
 2012
 2011
 2010
 2009
 2008
 2007
 2006

BLOGROLL

Activist Hedge Fund
 Investing
 AG Deal Diary
 The Board Blog
 Business Law Prof Blog
 California Corporate & Securities Law
 Capital and Prudential Standards Blog
 CLS Blue Sky Blog
 Conglomerate
 Corporate Compliance Insights
 The Corporate Counsel
 Corporate Governance at CorpGov.net
 Corporate Law and Governance
 Corporate Securities Law Blog
 The D&O Diary
 D&O Discourse
 David Feldman Blog
 Davis Polk Briefing: Governance
 DealLawyers Blog
 Delaware Corporate and Commercial Litigation Blog
 Delaware Corporate and Legal Services Blog
 Executive Pay and Loyalty
 FCPA Professor
 Finlay on Governance
 FraudBytes
 Jim Hamilton’s World of Securities Regulation
 Indian Corporate Law
 LexisNexis
 M&A Prof Law Blog
 MoFo Jumpstarter
 NACD Board Leaders Blog
 PLI Securities Law Practice Center
 Private Equity Blogger.com
 Robert A.G. Monks
 Ron Orol’s News and Analysis
 The Race to the Bottom
 Securities Regulation and Corporate Governance Monitor
 The Shareholder Activist
 Shareholders’ Square Table (Carl Icahn)

Phillip Goldstein
 Amy L. Goodman
 Jeffrey N. Gordon
 Mark Gordon
 Gary B. Gorton
 John Gould
 Edward F. Greene
 Holly Gregory
 Yaniv Grinstein
 Martin J. Gruenberg
 David Gruenstein
 Joseph Grundfest
 Alexander Gümbel
 Jason M. Halper
 Lawrence Hamermesh
 Steven Haas
 M. Todd Henderson
 Edward D. Herlihy
 Keith F. Higgins
 Joseph Hinsey
 Thomas M. Hoenig
 Cliff Holderness
 Marshall S. Huebner
 David S. Huntington
 Carl Icahn
 Victoria Ivashina
 Robert J. Jackson, Jr.
 Chad Johnson
 Keith L. Johnson
 Emma Coleman Jordan
 Marcel Kahan
 Ehud Kamar
 Steven Kaplan
 Brad S. Karp
 Anil K. Kashyap
 David Katz
 Ira T. Kay
 Simi Kedia
 Stanley Keller
 E. Han Kim
 Peter Kinder
 Robert Kirchstein
 Igor Kirman
 Alan M. Klein
 April Klein
 Arthur H. Kohn
 S.P. Kothari
 Daniel Krasner
 Stephen P. Lamb
 David Larcker
 Mark Lebovitch
 Josh Lerner
 Christian Leuz
 Baruch Lev
 Jack S. Levin
 Ross Levine
 Craig M. Lewis
 Victor I. Lewkow
 Avi Licht
 Lance E. Lindblom
 Martin Lipton
 Alexander Ljungqvist
 Jay W. Lorsch
 David M. Lynn
 Jonathan R. Macey
 Jeff Madrick
 Stan Magidson
 Jennifer Mailander
 Lawrence S. Makow
 Ulrike M. Malmendier
 Ronald Masulis
 J.A. McCahery
 Michael McCauley
 James McRitchie

diversity. In Australia, the Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012 requires private companies with 100 or more employees to report annually on certain gender diversity indicators, including pay equality, family-friendly working arrangements, and consultations with employees regarding gender equality. The rules include sanctions for noncompliance. The Australian Securities Exchange requires listed companies to disclose their diversity policies, including measurable objectives and progress, or to explain why they do not disclose this information. The New Zealand stock exchange (“NZX”) also enacted, effective as of year-end 2012, new rules requiring listed companies to provide data on the gender breakdown of their boards and executive officers. At the end of last year, the NZX published a guidance note for issuers regarding gender diversity policies and disclosures. Even the Hong Kong stock exchange has proposed a listing policy on board diversity.

Other Efforts to Promote Diversity

Throughout the world, efforts to increase gender diversity on boards continue to gain momentum both in legislatures and in non-governmental organizations. In the United States, there have been no legislative initiatives at the federal level other than the 2010 efforts by the SEC; however, the California state senate recently approved a resolution formally encouraging gender diversity. The resolution—the first of its kind among the states—urges every California public company to have, by the end of 2016, one to three women on its board, depending on the size of the board. The resolution cites various studies showing that the presence of women on a board can improve corporate performance and board processes in many ways. This last detail is perhaps telling; in the United States, there is still a sense that an emphasis on gender diversity needs to be justified rather than pursued as a matter of course. In Europe and elsewhere, the discussion surrounding legislative initiatives tends to be focused on the best way to achieve greater gender diversity rather than whether or not it is a worthwhile goal.

Numerous non-governmental organizations are promoting gender diversity, with some degree of success. Catalyst, a global organization dedicated to expanding opportunities for women in business, issued the “Catalyst Accord” in 2012 to encourage Canadian Financial Post 500 corporations to achieve 25 percent gender diversity by 2017. At the one-year mark, 13 companies had signed the accord, including RBC, HSBC Bank of Canada, Ernst & Young LLP Canada, and KPMG LLP Canada. Catalyst publishes regular census and research reports tracking the progress of gender diversity in boardrooms and executive suites, and the Catalyst Canada Advisory Board provides participating companies with a roster of qualified women director candidates.

The Thirty Percent Coalition, founded in 2011, is composed of leading women’s organizations, institutional investors, senior executives, elected officials and other market participants. Its goal is 30 percent representation of women on U.S. public company board seats by 2015. Beginning in 2012, the Coalition sent letters to 168 companies in the S&P 500 and the Russell 1000 that have no female directors, urging them to commit to gender diversity on their boards. The Coalition also initiated the filing of 25 shareholder resolutions in 2013 to bring greater attention to the issue at these companies. Three of these resolutions went to a vote (one of which received over 50 percent support), while 18 were withdrawn as companies agreed to include diversity considerations in their corporate governance guidelines on the nominating process. In light of its success this past proxy season, it seems likely that the Thirty Percent Coalition will promote the filing of shareholder resolutions on gender diversity in the 2014 proxy season.

A similar organization, 2020 Women on Boards, was founded in 2010 and has the goal of increasing the percentage of women on U.S. corporate boards to 20 percent by 2020. This organization annually publishes a Gender Diversity Index of Fortune 1000 Companies and hosts an annual “National Conversation on Board Diversity,” with simultaneous events in many cities on the same date.

Advocacy groups such as these do not generally support mandatory quotas as a means of achieving their objective. The Thirty Percent Coalition champions “collaborative effort,” while 2020 Women on Boards focuses on national discussions rather than legislative initiatives. Helena Morrissey, the founder of the 30% Club—a British organization of company chairmen who are working toward 30 percent representation of women on boards—has opposed the EU quota initiative. Two other organizations of chairmen and chief executives, New Zealand’s 25 Percent Group and Australia’s Male Champions of Change, support the self-regulatory approach favored by the United Kingdom and the United States over the mandatory quota system that is gathering momentum in Europe.

Gender Diversity Going Forward

A continuing debate is whether the relatively low numbers of women directors are



Jeffrey Merkley
 Lee A. Meyerson
 Ira M. Millstein
 Robert Monks
 Mark Morton
 Charles Nathan
 Annette L. Nazareth
 Daniel A. Neff
 Sabastian V. Niles
 Trevor Norwitz
 Jeremiah O. Norton
 Andrew J. Nussbaum
 John F. Olson
 Theodore B. Olson
 Michael G. Oxley
 Troy A. Paredes
 Daniel F. Pedrotty
 Urs Peyer
 Gordon Phillips
 Francis G.X. Pileggi
 Anna T. Pinedo
 Richard A. Posner
 Robert C. Pozen
 Giovanni P. Prezioso
 Raghuram G. Rajan
 John Ramsay
 Sarah Bloom Raskin
 Barnabas Reynolds
 Edward J. Riedl
 Jay R. Ritter
 Broc Romanek
 Roberta Romano
 Marc Rosenberg
 Steven Rosenblum
 Lesley Rosenthal
 Paul N. Roth
 John Ruggie
 Bradley K. Sabel
 Haim Sachs
 Russell D. Sacks
 Hillary Sale
 Richard J. Sandler
 Robert S. Saunders
 John F. Savarese
 William Savitt
 Mary L. Schapiro
 Katherine Schipper
 Mark Schonfeld
 Steven L. Schwarcz
 Lanny A. Schwartz
 Robert F. Serio
 Anne Sheehan
 Andrei Shleifer
 Rachelle Silverberg
 Damon Silvers
 Dwight C. Smith
 Larry Sonsini
 A. Gilchrist Sparks
 Eliot Spitzer
 Suraj Srinivasan
 Jeffrey Stein
 Warren Stern
 Suzanne Stevens
 Lynn Stout
 Donna L. Street
 René M. Stulz
 Shyam Sunder
 Margaret E. Tahyar
 Ronnie Talmore
 Daniel K. Tarullo
 Greg Taxin
 Allen M. Terrell, Jr.
 Randall S. Thomas
 Andrea Tinianow

attributable to a shortage of supply or of demand. Lord Davies noted in his initial 2011 Women on Boards report: “Part of the challenge is around supply—the corporate pipeline.... Part of the challenge is around demand.” He expanded on this concern in his April 2013 update, noting: “The executive pipeline is not an easy nut to crack.” The inadequate representation of women at all levels of corporate leadership is a recurrent theme, with BusinessEurope, BlackRock, and other organizations citing similar concerns. Indeed, despite significant increases in the representation of women on European public company boards, the European Commission report found very few women chief executives or board chairs. Of the 587 companies covered by the European Commission’s database, only 26 (4.4 percent) are chaired by women and only 16 (2.7 percent) have a woman as the chief executive officer. A breakdown of FTSE-100 data shows that the total of 19 percent women directors includes 23.8 percent non-executive directors and only 6.1 percent executive directors. In the United States, the numbers of women executives also are low; as of September 2013, only 4.5 percent, or 21, women served as CEOs of Fortune 500 companies. By comparison, the number of women directors as of March 1, 2013 at U.S. public companies ranges from 16.9 percent in the S&P 500 to 11.9 percent in the Russell 3000 and has not changed significantly since 2012.

However, many corporate observers and participants believe that supply is not the main obstacle in achieving greater gender diversity. Patricia Lenkov, an advisory board member of 2020 Women on Boards, has spoken for this cohort in opining that “board diversity isn’t a supply problem, but rather it’s a demand problem.” One academic commentator has pointed out that men tend to cite supply issues while women—rightly, in his view—argue that the real issue is lack of demand.

SEC Commissioner Luis Aguilar spoke on the topic of gender diversity this past Spring (discussed on the Forum [here](#)). He expressed frustration with the fact that board nominating committees often attribute the dearth of women on their boards to the small number of qualified women in the pipeline, arguing that with the vast resources available to public companies—including the activities of numerous organizations devoted to the identification and training of potential candidates—any board that is truly dedicated to gender diversity should be able to find plenty of qualified candidates. He emphasized the benefits of obtaining the full participation of women in a corporate boardroom, citing statistics showing that, as of 2013, women earn the majority of bachelor’s, master’s, and doctorate degrees in the United States and over one-third of MBA degrees. He also mentioned some of the same studies cited in the California senate resolution to support the argument that gender diversity on boards is correlated with improved governance and financial performance. A recent study by Thomson Reuters reached similar conclusions. Commissioner Aguilar endorsed the existing SEC disclosure requirements as an important first step towards prioritizing diversity, although he indicated that enhanced disclosures beyond the scope of the rules may be important to satisfy investors and bring greater transparency to the nominating process. He commended the companies, such as Wells Fargo, Coca Cola and Citigroup, that have provided more fulsome disclosure in their recent proxy statements regarding the diversity of their boards and how their boards considered diversity in the nominating process.

As noted by Commissioner Aguilar, if gender diversity on boards is to improve, it is critical for nominating committees to spend time and effort identifying potential female candidates as well as working to expand the potential pool. It hardly matters how many women are in the pipeline of NGOs and corporations, after all, if they are not nominated to serve as directors. While demand has increased dramatically as chairmen and chief executives have begun to prioritize gender diversity, both on their own initiative and at the urging of shareholders and outside groups, there is room for significant improvement in this area.

Yet, as Harvard Professor Boris Groysberg has noted, “There is a big difference between diversity and inclusiveness. Diversity is about counting the numbers; inclusiveness is about making the numbers count.” Groysberg appropriately notes that the key to making gender diversity a meaningful element of corporate governance is to create “the conditions under which you’d expect diversity to have a positive effect on performance.”

At the OSC roundtable discussion held on October 16, 2013, Kathleen Taylor, the recently appointed Non-Executive Chair of the Royal Bank of Canada, spoke eloquently about these issues:

I do think it is also important to say you can’t get too fixated on percentages. The most important thing for boards is to have the right people at the table at the right time constantly focused on renewal and diverse expertise, and so as you go through that, percentages will fluctuate, but within a range. There is nothing wrong with focusing on that and coming to some company-specific determination of what constitutes critical mass.

Sheridan Titman
Matteo Tonello
Andrew Tuch
Andrew S. Tulumello
James Turley
Adair Turner
Brad Voss
Kristina Veaco
J.W. Verret
Belen Villalonga
Paul Vizcarrondo
Peter J. Wallison
Elisse Walter
Sir David Walker
F. Joseph Warin
Douglas P. Warner
Elizabeth Warren
Marc Weingarten
Michael Weisbach
Ivo Welch
Mark J. Welshimer
Lawrence A. West
Mary Jo White
John Wilcox
Michael M. Wiseman
Daniel E. Wolf
Marc Wolinsky
Simon Wong
James Woolery
Ann Yerger
Luigi Zingales

I think that one of the things we see in business and boards is that when women are there in sufficient numbers there is a catalyst for change in th[e] thought process, and so it is important to think about what the size and shape of that is for your organization and get to the right point on that.

We remain convinced that in the United States, a mandatory quota system is not desirable, and we are optimistic that gender diversity will continue to increase at all levels of corporate organizations through the informal, situation-specific, yet results-oriented process of the market system as influenced by American society and culture. Effective change should, and in our view, will come from within the boardroom; it should not be imposed by regulatory means.

[1 Comment](#)

1. [...] for 11 of those years (putting it at ninth place in this category). The country is working to improve gender equality on corporate boards by requiring listed companies to disclose their gender breakdown of board members and executive [...]

Pingback by [Gender Equality: Celebrating a Slow Progress](#) — January 1, 2014 @ 3:00 pm

Add your comment below:

Name (required)

e-mail (required but not published)

website

Notify me of follow-up comments by email.

Notify me of new posts by email.

[RSS](#) feed for comments on this post. [TrackBack URI](#)

All copyright and trademarks in content on this site are owned by their respective owners. Other content © 2015 The President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Protected by Akismet · [Blog with WordPress](#)

